MMR

MORBIDITY AND MORTALITY WEEKLY REPORT

State-Specific Estimates of Smoking-Attributable Mortality and Years of Potential Life Lost — United States, 1985

693 Guidelines to Prevent Simian Immunodeficiency Virus Infection in Laboratory Workers and Animal Handlers

Current Trends

State-Specific Estimates of Smoking-Attributable Mortality and Years of Potential Life Lost — United States, 1985

Cigarette smoking is the chief avoidable cause of death in the United States (1). Although annual estimates of smoking-attributable mortality in the United States vary by method and data source, the estimates are uniformly large and range from a low of 270,000 (2) to a high of 485,000 (3). An estimated 320,515 deaths were attributable to smoking in 1984 (4), representing approximately 16% of the total deaths in the United States for that year. Years of potential life lost (YPLL) have also been used to measure the impact of smoking-attributable disease (4,5).

In 1987, a computer software program (Smoking-Attributable Mortality, Morbidity, and Economic Cost [SAMMEC]) developed by the Center for Nonsmoking and Health, Minnesota Department of Health, was distributed by CDC to the other states (6). This software facilitates calculations of smoking-attributable mortality, YPLL, and economic costs. Using the software, all 50 states and the District of Columbia completed these calculations for 1985.

For smoking-attributable deaths and YPLL, the smoking-attributable fractions (SAFs) for 21 smoking-related diseases among adults were calculated using weighted relative risks estimated from four prospective studies on the health effects of smoking (2,4). In addition, risks for four pediatric diseases related to maternal smoking were included in the SAMMEC calculations (7). Age- and sex-specific mortality data for 1985 were obtained from each state's vital records system. Age- and sex-specific weighted smoking prevalence rates (CDC, unpublished data) were obtained from the 1985 Current Population Survey (supplement) of the U.S. Bureau of the Census. The smoking-attributable YPLL were calculated by two methods: 1) to age 65 years and 2) to average life expectancy (5). State-specific rates per 100,000 persons for smoking-attributable mortality and YPLL were calculated using state-specific population data provided by the U.S. Bureau of the Census for 1985 (U.S. Bureau of the Census, unpublished data). These rates were not age-adjusted because insufficient age-specific population data were available to permit age adjustment for all states.

According to state-specific estimates, more than 314,000 U.S. deaths were caused by smoking in 1985. The average number of smoking-attributable deaths per state was 6168 (ranging from 271 in Alaska to 28,533 in California) (Table 1). Of all smoking-attributable deaths in the United States, 67% were among men, 32% among women, and <1% among children <5 years of age. These deaths in young children

resulted from low birthweight/short gestation, respiratory distress syndrome, other respiratory diseases of the newborn, and other diseases of children associated with maternal smoking (4). Smoking-attributable deaths accounted for approximately

TABLE 1. Smoking-attributable deaths and years of potential life lost (YPLL), by state — United States, 1985

State	Smoking-attributable deaths	YPLL before age 65	YPLL before life expectancy				
Alabama	5,174	19,131	44,956				
Alaska	271	2,031	4,762				
Arizona	3,844	9,826	44,442				
Arkansas	3,845	11,357	45,265				
California	28,533	79,491	331,415				
Colorado	3,005	8,728	35,028				
Connecticut	4,269	11,366	48,470				
Delaware	849	3,163	11,056				
District of Columbia	911	4,185	12,736				
Florida	18,186	45,030	204,593				
Georgia	7,539	28,912	96,943				
Hawaii	766	2,987	12,554				
ldaho	959	2,252	10,527				
Illinois	15,846	49,665	193,435				
Indiana	7,945	23,063	94,012				
lowa	4,017	8,425	41,037				
Kansas	3,153	7,762	34,229				
Kentucky	6,497	20,782	80,039				
Louisiana	5,571	18,421	71,361				
Maine	1,861	5,207	21,031				
Maryland	5,266	17,676	38,491				
Massachusetts	8,515	20,653	90,422				
Michigan	12,453	38,974	150,591				
Minnesota	5,039	12,304	53,476				
Mississippi	3,233	11,285	40,634				
Missouri	7.638	19,410	81,418				
Montana	1,047	2,768	11,997				
Nebraska	2,231	4,595	22,016				
Nevada	1,474	4,869	19,125				
New Hampshire	1,398	3,549	15,620				
New Jersey	10,180	30,723	75,888				
New Mexico	1,217	3,956	14,245				
New York	26,880	78,176	335,319				
North Carolina	8,297	34,772	112,805				
North Dakota	760	3,382	4,335				
Ohio	15,881	49,178	187,208				
Oklahoma	4,731	13,586	54,999				
Oregon	3,737	8,618	41,803				
Pennsylvania	17,961	46,658	207,325				
Rhode Island	1,571	3,494	17,254				
South Carolina	3.979	14,815					
South Dakota	963	2,245	51,987 10,133				
Tennessee	6,537	22,460	10,133				
Texas	16,828	57,007	82,274				
Utah	742		208,946				
Vermont	742 740	3,371 1,907	12,343				
Virginia	7,184		8,438				
Washington	5,593	24,693 15,220	92,115				
West Virginia	3,325	15,239	65,133				
Wisconsin		8,531	38,573				
	5,636	13,583	59,816				
Wyoming Total	497 314,574	1,828 936,089	6,056 2 649 676				
Average	6,168	936,089 18,355	3,648,676 71,543				

936,000 YPLL before age 65 years in 1985. When average life expectancy was used as a cut-off point, approximately 3.6 million YPLL resulted from the smoking-attributable deaths.

The average state smoking-attributable mortality rate was 130.0 per 100,000 persons (ranging from 45.3 in Utah to 175.9 in Kentucky) (Table 2). The average rate of smoking-attributable YPLL before age 65 years was 447.8 per 100,000 persons <65 years of age (ranging from 223.5 in Utah to 773.6 in the District of Columbia). The average rate of smoking-attributable YPLL before actual life expectancy was 1503.8 per 100,000 persons (ranging from 643.2 in North Dakota to 2167.3 in Kentucky).

Reported by: CH Woernle, MD, State Epidemiologist, Alabama Dept of Public Health. J Wohlleb, MS, Div of Health Statistics, Arkansas Dept of Health. L Parker, PhD, Chronic Disease Br, California Dept of Health Svcs. W Todd, MS, Div of Prevention Programs, Colorado Dept of Health. M Adams, MPH, Office of Health Education, Connecticut State Dept of Health Svcs. F Breukelman, Div of Public Health Education, Delaware Dept of Health and Social Svcs. V Kofie. PhD, Bur of Cancer Control, District of Columbia Dept of Health and Human Svcs. K Rigney, MD, Chronic Disease Br, Hawaii Dept of Health. J Mitten, Health Promotion and Disease Prevention Section, Idaho Dept of Health and Welfare. L Hathcock, PhD, Public Health Statistics Div, Indiana Board of Health, M Eischen, Health Education and Risk Reduction Br, lowa Dept of Public Health. R Schwartz, MSPH, Div of Health Promotion and Education, Maine Bureau of Health. N Fox, PhD, Chronic Disease Prevention Sycs, Maryland Dept of Health and Mental Hygiene. G Connolly, DDS, Office for Nonsmoking and Health, Massachusetts Dept of Public Health. C Dalv, MPH. Center for Nonsmoking and Health, Minnesota Dept of Health. N Gunther, MS, Public Health Statistics Br, Mississippi State Dept of Health. N Miller, MS, Office of Health Promotion. Missouri Dept of Health, R Moon, MPH, Health Svcs Div, Montana Dept of Health and Environmental Sciences, E Wieber, Health Promotion and Education Div, Nebraska Dept of Health. W Morell, Vital Statistics Bur, Nevada Dept of Human Resources. E Schwartz, PhD, Div of Public Health Svcs, New Hampshire Dept of Health and Human Svcs. B Lee, Div of Health Promotion and Education, North Dakota State Dept of Health and Consolidated Laboratories. J Cataldo, Office of Health Promotion, Rhode Island Dept of Health. P Lee, MPH, Dept of Health Education, South Carolina Dept of Health and Environmental Control. L Post, MPH, Center for Health Policy and Statistics, South Dakota Dept of Health. C Pearson, MN, Div of Health Promotion, Tennessee Dept of Health and Environment. R Todd, MSEd, Office of Smoking and Health, Texas Dept of Health. C Chalkley, MHEd, Bur of Health Promotion and Risk Reduction, Utah Dept of Health. C Dickson, MS, Div of Health Promotion, West Virginia Dept of Health. M Futa, MA, Health Risk Reduction Program, Wyoming Dept of Health and Social Svcs. Div of Field Svcs, Epidemiology Program Office; Office on Smoking and Health, Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, CDC.

Editorial Note: Smoking causes more premature deaths than all other health-risk behaviors in the United States (8). The state-specific calculations of smoking-attributable mortality permit comparison of the impact of smoking with that of other health risks in states. Even as smoking prevalence declines in this country (9), smoking-attributable illness will continue to produce an enormous disease burden well into the 21st century (10). Thus, efforts to reduce tobacco use in each state must continue to be a high public health priority.

The national estimate for the total number of smoking-attributable deaths reported here is remarkably similar to the 1984 estimate (320,515) (4), despite the following differences in the methods used to calculate the two estimates: 1) the 1985 state-specific mortality data were used in these calculations rather than 1984 national mortality data; 2) different SAFs for lung cancer among women were used in the two calculations; and 3) deaths among nonsmokers caused by passive smoking (1570) and deaths from cigarette-caused fires (3825) were included in the previous estimate (4) but not in the state-specific estimates used here.

The longitudinal studies used to derive relative risk estimates for the SAMMEC calculations involved persons who began smoking between 1900 and 1950. The pattern of smoking among U.S. men was well-established by the end of that period;

TABLE 2. Smoking-attributable mortality (SAM) rate and rate of years of potential life lost (YPLL) per 100,000 persons, by state — United States, 1985

.	0414	YPLL before	YPLL before
State	SAM	age 65	life expectancy
Alabama	129.5	545.2	1125.0
Alaska	54.3	421.4	954.3
Arizona	122.6	313.3	1417.2
Arkansas	163.7	565.0	1927.0
California	109.5	341.3	1272.0
Colorado	94.2	300.6	1098.4
Connecticut	135.0	413.6	1532.9
Delaware	137.6	577.2	1791.9
District of Columbia	147.6	773.6	2064.2
Florida	161.3	485.7	1814.4
Georgia	127.7	544.5	1642.3
Hawaii	77.0	333.4	1261.7
Idaho	96.1	253.3	1054.8
Illinois	137.8	490.3	1682.6
Indiana	144.6	475.7	1711.5
lowa	139.5	475.7 341.4	1424.9
Kansas	139.5		1424.9
		370.1	
Kentucky	175.9	639.4	2167.3
Louisiana	125.1	459.3	1602.2
Maine	161.0	519.1	1819.3
Maryland	121.3	455.2	886.5
Massachusetts	146.6	410.8	1556.3
Michigan	137.3	483.9	1659.8
Minnesota	120.3	335.4	1276.9
Mississippi	124.7	494.3	1567.1
Missouri	152.2	448.2	1622.8
Montana	127.4	382.3	1459.5
Nebraska	140.1	333.9	1328.9
Nevada	158.5	582.4	2056.5
New Hampshire	140.6	404.7	1571.4
New Jersey	134.9	466.4	1005.7
New Mexico	84.9	305.7	994.1
New York	151.6	505.1	1890.6
North Carolina	134.9	639.2	1834.5
North Dakota	112.8	577.1	643.2
Ohio	148.0	521.2	1744.7
Oklahoma	144.1	472.2	1675.8
Oregon	139.1	369.2	1556.3
Pennsylvania	151.6	459.6	1749.4
Rhode Island	163.6	425.6	1797.3
South Carolina	121.4	504.8	1585.9
South Dakota	137.2	371.7	1443.4
Tennessee	137.8	539.0	1734.6
Texas	103.6	387.8	1286.4
Utah	45.3	223.5	753.5
Vermont	138.3	404.0	753.5 1577.2
	136.3	404.0 498.5	1662.7
Virginia			
Washington	128.6	396.4	1497.7
West Virginia	171.7	508.4	1992.4
Wisconsin	118.1	326.7	1253.0
Wyoming	98.4	394.0	1199.2
Total	132.5	449.0	1539.3
Average	130.0	447.8	1503.8

however, women did not begin smoking in large numbers until the 1950s and 1960s (11). Therefore, the results produced by SAMMEC probably underestimate the actual disease impact of smoking among women in 1985.

The smoking-attributable mortality and YPLL rates reported here were not age-adjusted, thus limiting comparisons among states. Despite these limitations, SAMMEC is a useful epidemiologic tool that helps organize and translate surveillance data into an understandable framework. Some states have already reported their use of the data produced by SAMMEC (12–14). The SAMMEC software also demonstrates the effectiveness of public health surveillance data when linked by state epidemiologists, state-based health promotion professionals, state vital records departments, federal public health agencies, and others in addressing smoking and other public health problems.

References

- Office on Smoking and Health. The health consequences of smoking: cancer a report of the Surgeon General. Rockville, Maryland: US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, 1982:xi; DHHS publication no. (PHS)82-50179.
- Rice DP, Hodgson TA, Sinsheimer P, Browner W, Kopstein AN. The economic costs of the health effects of smoking, 1984. Milbank Mem Fund Q 1986;64:489–547.
- Ravenholt RT. Tobacco's impact on twentieth-century U.S. mortality patterns. Am J Preventive Med 1985;1(4):4–17.
- CDC. Smoking-attributable mortality and years of potential life lost—United States, 1984. MMWR 1987;36:693–7.
- CDC. Premature mortality in the United States: public health issues in the use of years of potential life lost. MMWR 1986;35(suppl 2S).
- Shultz JM. SAMMEC: smoking-attributable mortality, morbidity, and economic costs (computer software and documentation). Minneapolis: Minnesota Department of Health, Center for Nonsmoking and Health, 1986.
- 7. McIntosh ID. Smoking and pregnancy: attributable risks and public health implications. Can J Public Health 1984;75:141–8.
- Warner KE. Health and economic implications of a tobacco-free society. JAMA 1987; 258:2080–6.
- 9. CDC. Cigarette smoking in the United States, 1986. MMWR 1987;36:581-5.
- 10. Brown CC, Kessler LG. Projections of lung cancer mortality in the United States: 1985–2025. J Natl Cancer Inst 1988;80:43–51.
- 11. Warner KE, Murt HA. Impact of the antismoking campaign on smoking prevalence: a cohort analysis. J Public Health Policy 1982;3:374–90.
- 12. Shultz JM, Moen ME, Pechacek TF, et al. The Minnesota Plan for Nonsmoking and Health: the legislative experience. J Public Health Policy 1986;7:300–13.
- 13. Vermont Department of Health. The public health impact and economic costs of cigarette smoking, Vermont, 1985. Dis Control Bull, May 1987.
- 14. Woernle CH. The burden of cigarette smoking in Alabama. Alabama Department of Public Health Epidemiol Rep 1987;1(5):1–2.

Perspectives in Disease Prevention and Health Promotion

Guidelines to Prevent Simian Immunodeficiency Virus Infection in Laboratory Workers and Animal Handlers

Simian immunodeficiency virus (SIV) belongs to the family *Retroviridae* (subfamily *Lentivirinae*) and is closely related to human immunodeficiency virus types 1 and 2 (HIV-1 and HIV-2), the etiologic agents of acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS). Although no reports of infection in humans have been documented, the expanding use of SIV as a model of HIV infection has raised concern about the

potential risk of SIV transmission to humans. Therefore, a working group was established by CDC and the National Institutes of Health (NIH) to formulate specific guidelines intended to minimize the risk of SIV transmission to humans.

BACKGROUND

Originally reported in 1985, the first isolate from a rhesus macaque was called simian T-lymphotropic virus III (STLV-III) (1). Viral isolates have since been obtained from several species of nonhuman primates including African green monkeys (2), sooty mangabeys (3), pig-tailed macaques (4), and stump-tailed macaques (5). Limited studies of wild-caught African green monkeys from Central Africa indicate a seroprevalence of approximately 30%–50%, apparently without associated immunodeficiency disease. The seroprevalence of SIV among rhesus monkeys in captive colonies (whether from natural infections or interspecies transmission) appears to be low (i.e., 0–1%) (6). In contrast, captive sooty mangabeys may have seroprevalence rates as high as 80% (H. McClure, personal communication). The prevalence of SIV infection among many other nonhuman primate species is unknown.

(Continued on page 699)

TABLE I. Summary — cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States

	451	h Week End	ing	Cumulative, 45th Week Ending					
Disease	Nov. 12,	Nov. 14,	Median	Nov. 12,	Nov. 14,	Median			
	1988	1987	1983-1987	1988	1987	1983-1987			
Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS) Aseptic meningitis Encephalitis: Primary (arthropod-borne	183	U*	202	26,501	17,098	6,846			
	185	206	238	5,787	10,039	9,370			
& unspec) Post-infectious Gonorrhea: Civilian Military	17	16	25	672	1,145	1,145			
	-	1	1	108	90	93			
	10,434	15,506	16,382	599,205	670,556	770,083			
	197	553	498	10,087	14,109	18,345			
Hepatitis: Type A	543	594	475	22,192	21,337	19,696			
Type B	382	569	503	19,303	21,968	22,364			
Non A, Non B	22	53	67	2,169	2,584	3,088			
Unspecified	37	66	114	1,954	2,710	4,422			
Legionellosis	21	23	17	820	840	651			
Leprosy	7	1	5	143	176	210			
Malaria Measles: Total [†] Indigenous Imported	19 119 116 3	18 3 3	17 10 3	869 2,585 2,323 262	796 3,506 3,089 417	875 2,627 2,198 303			
Meningococcal infections Mumps Pertussis	34	58	43	2,432	2,522	2,322			
	41	123	70	3,964	11,336	2,879			
	49	48	48	2,419	2,203	2,203			
Rubella (German measles)	1	1	8	185	319	591			
Syphilis (Primary & Secondary): Civilian	556	715	581	34,895	30,704	24,199			
Military	2	-	1	139	141	144			
Toxic Shock syndrome	278	6	6	302	292	328			
Tuberculosis		481	440	18,250	18,430	18,430			
Tularemia		4	4	165	182	182			
Typhoid Fever	3	9	9	327	294	322			
Typhus fever, tick-borne (RMSF)	4	3	6	587	574	718			
Rabies, animal	54	63	85	3,716	4,126	4,753			

TABLE II. Notifiable diseases of low frequency, United States

	Cum. 1988		Cum. 1988
Anthrax Botulism: Foodborne (Calif. 1, Alaska 1) Infant (Va. 1) Other Brucellosis (N.Y. City 1) Cholera Congenital rubella syndrome Congenital syphilis, ages < 1 year Diphtheria	25	Leptospirosis	41
	31	Plague	14
	3	Poliomyelitis, Paralytic	1
	58	Psittacosis	77
	6	Rabies, human	-
	4	Tetanus	48
	426	Trichinosis	39

^{*}Because AIDS cases are not received weekly from all reporting areas, comparison of weekly figures may be misleading.

Three of the 119 reported cases for this week were imported from a foreign country or can be directly traceable to a known internationally imported case within two generations.

TABLE III. Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending November 12, 1988 and November 14, 1987 (45th Week)

			,	halitis	r				/iral), by		r		
	AIDS	Aseptic Menin-		Post-in-		orrhea ilian)	A	В	NA.NB	Unspeci-	Legionel- losis	Leprosy	
Reporting Area		gitis	Primary	fectious		•				fied		0	
	Cum. 1988	Cum. 1988	Cum. 1988	Cum. 1988	Cum. 1988	Cum. 1987	Cum. 1988	Cum. 1988	Cum. 1988	Cum. 1988	Cum. 1988	Cum. 1988	
UNITED STATES	26,501	5,787	672	108	599,205	670,556	22,192	19,303	2,169	1,954	820	143	
NEW ENGLAND	1,096	367	24	4	18,817	20,661	744	1,027	109	83	46	15	
Maine N.H.	26 34	19 39	2 1	3	349 229	598 348	18 40	48 64	5 9	1 4	4	- :	
Vt.	10	26	7	-	105	198	14	39	_6	4	3		
Mass. R.I.	584 78	154 77	8	1	6,365 1,745	7,225 1,877	356 79	643 73	71 10	59	32 3	14 1	
Conn.	364	52	6		10,024	10,415	237	160	8	15	·	•	
MID. ATLANTIC	8,807	617	52	4	94,048	105,825	1,638	2,738	162	270	198	8	
Upstate N.Y. N.Y. City	1,122 4,852	339 119	33 8	1 3	13,889 38.890	15,317 56,178	662 309	666 1,140	63 16	19 198	74 44	7	
N.J.	2,114	61	11	-	13,514	14,477	353	626	56	38	40	1	
Pa.	719	98	-	-	27,755	19,853	314	306	27	15	40	-	
E.N. CENTRAL Ohio	1,880 412	949 359	169 59	13 3	101,250 23,208	102,349 22,966	1,465 293	2,040 474	192 30	111 18	184 73	4	
Ind.	80	90	20	-	7,815	8,187	147	299	19	24	20	-	
III.	897	87	32	10	30,701	29,653	467	443	68	29 37	54	3	
Mich. Wis.	399 92	367 46	42 16	-	31,854 7,672	32,623 8,920	352 206	593 231	51 24	3/	37	1	
W.N. CENTRAL	660	243	51	11	25,674	27,161	1,232	887	95	31	69	1	
Minn.	141	29	11	3	3,470	4,095	89	116	19	3	3	-	
lowa Mo.	39 350	35 98	9 1	3	1,933 14,655	2,632 14,259	43 753	77 530	13 44	2 16	17 20	-	
N. Dak.	4	5	4	-	163	259	6	12	3	5	1	-	
S. Dak.	7	18	5	2	438	543	15	4 40	2 2	-	14 5	-	
Nebr. Kans.	33 86	11 47	11 10	2 1	1,383 3,632	1,802 3,571	46 280	108	12	5	9	1	
S. ATLANTIC	4,684	1,245	101	40	169,428	175.873	2.065	4,006	333	290	126	1	
Del.	62	39	3	-	2,667	2,995	44	125	7	4	13	-	
Md.	497 434	181 19	10 1	3 1	17,748 12,702	20,064 11,703	261 16	612 39	38 3	25 1	18 1	1	
D.C. Va.	328	168	32	4	12,702	12,853	330	282	66	194	10	-	
W. Va.	16	34	22	-	1,179	1,256	14	62	4	3	21	-	
N.C. S.C.	249 165	157 21	21	i	24,011 13,198	25,887 13,866	282 39	727 462	80 11	5	31 22	-	
Ga.	649	141	1	2	32,091	31,416	539	567	13	6	20	-	
Fla.	2,284	485	11	29	53,427	55,833	540	1,130	111	52	11	-	
E.S. CENTRAL	664 85	393 136	60 20	8 1	47,937 4,867	50,619 5,067	691 459	1,232 247	164 58	13 2	47 20	2	
Ky. Tenn.	293	46	15		16,352	17,810	150	550	39	-	8	-	
Ala.	182	159	25	2 5	14,512	15,939 11,803	50 32	323 112	57 10	10 1	13 6	2	
Miss.	104	52	- 79	3	12,206 64,597	75,774	2,715	1,782	190	476	23	30	
W.S. CENTRAL Ark.	2,323 75	711 14	/9 5	3	6,420	8,559	303	96	5	17	4	-	
La.	318	113	22	1	12,897	12,976	133	314	25	16	6	1	
Okla. Tex.	127 1,803	66 518	7 45	2	6,149 39,131	8,177 46,062	446 1,833	157 1,215	42 118	27 416	13	29	
MOUNTAIN	776	207	26	3	12,887	17,537	2,938	1,435	225	155	41	1	
Mont.	11	4	-		367	494	38	50	10	4	2	-	
Idaho	9	1 2	-	-	298 178	618 384	122 5	97 12	7 3	4	3	-	
Wyo. Colo.	281	69	3	-	2,827	3,947	199	173	63	69	8	1	
N. Mex.	41	21	3	1	1,290	1,930	484	211	18	1	4	-	
Ariz. Utah	257 58	68 25	11 4	1	4,700 472	5,906 538	1,621 264	570 119	67 36	50 18	16 3	-	
Nev.	113	17	5	:	2,755	3,720	205	203	21	9	5	-	
PACIFIC	5,611	1,055	110	22	64,567	94,757	8,704	4,156	699	525	86	81	
Wash.	342 155	-	7	4	6,071 2,805	7,863 3,512	1,990 1,185	751 509	171 76	61 21	21 2	7 1	
Oreg. Calif.	5,001	937	98	18	54,235	81,154	4,997	2,796	441	432	60	61	
Alaska	16	23	3	•	929	1,498	520	50	6	6 5	3	1 11	
Hawaii	97	95	2	-	527	730	12	50	5	5 2		5	
Guam P.R.	1 1,200	68	4	1	122 1,125	177 1,720	9 50	13 236	40	2 40	1	3	
V.I.	32	-	-	•	365	246	1	7	2	-		-	
Amer. Samoa	•	-	•		65 30	74	3	2	-	5 4	-	2 1	
C.N.M.I.	•	-	•	•	39		1	3		4	-	<u>'</u>	

N: Not notifiable U: Unavailable

TABLE III. (Cont'd.) Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending November 12, 1988 and November 14, 1987 (45th Week)

Reporting Area	Malaria	Measles (Ru			ıbeola)		Menin-								
		Indig	enous	Impo	rted*	Total	gococcal Infections	Mu	mps	l	Pertussi	is	1	Rubella	ı
	Cum. 1988	1988	Cum. 1988	1988	Cum. 1988	Cum. 1987	Cum. 1988	1988	Cum. 1988	1988	Cum. 1988	Cum. 1987	1988	Cum. 1988	Cum 198
UNITED STATES	869	116	2,323	3	262	3,506	2,432	41	3,964	49	2,419	2,203	1	185	319
NEW ENGLAND	66	-	83	-	52	280	208		117	13	174	149		9	1
Maine	3	-	7	-	-	3	9	-		11	24	28	-	-	1
N.H. Vt.	3 4	:	67	-	44	162 26	23 15	:	105	-	47	38	-	5	-
Mass.	33	-	2		2	65	92	-	5 7	-	4 60	4 50	-	3	-
R.I.	.6	-	-	•	:	2	21	-	-	2	17	3		1	
Conn.	17	•	7	-	6	22	48	-	•	-	22	26	-	•	
MID. ATLANTIC Upstate N.Y.	150 37	52	863	•	49	582	256	-	324	2	177	258	-	14	12
N.Y. City	80	:	19 46		18 6	40 463	121 62	-	96	-	103	151	-	2	10
N.J.	11	52	269		11	39	63	-	101 44	:	5 8	8 17	:	7	1
Pa.	22	-	529	-	14	40	10	-	83	2	61	82	-	3 2	1
E.N. CENTRAL	43	-	139	-	57	365	338	-	794	1	233	250	_	31	38
Ohio	10	-	2	-	32	5	122	-	113	:	49	72		1	30
ind. III.	3 2	-	57 56	-	16	185	26	-	72	1	73	17	-	-	-
Mich.	23		24		5	29	71 79	-	292 206	-	44 34	16	-	26	27
Wis.	5	-		-	4	146	40		111		33	46 99	-	4	9
W.N. CENTRAL	17		11		2	230	89	18	155		123			•	
Minn.	5	-	10	-	ī	39	19	-	-	-	49	130 13	-	2	2
lowa	2	-	-	•	•	-	-	-	34	-	29	56	-		1
Mo. N. Dak.	6	•	1	-	1	189	32	2	38	-	22	31	•	-	-
S. Dak.			-		:	1	1 4	:	ī	:	11	12	-	-	-
Nebr.	1	-	-	-	-	-	12	-	11		5	3 1	-	-	-
Kans.	3	-	-	-	-	1	21	16	71	-	7	14	-	2	1
S. ATLANTIC	111	6	380	2	22	159	417	3	656	2	236	300	_	17	18
Del. Md.	1	•		-		32	2		-	-	7	5	-	'-	2
D.C.	17 12	-	11	2†	5	7 1	49 8	-	129	1	45	17	-	1	3
Va.	19	6	204	-	2	i	8 48	-	259 134		1 21	-	-		1
W. Va.	3	-	6	-	-	-	7	1	16	-	8	50 39	-	11	1
N.C. S.C.	13 10	-	-	:	5	5	66	-	51	-	65	119	-	-	1
3a.	5		- :	-	-	2 9	35 65	1	6	-	1		-	-	-
Fla.	31	-	159	-	10	102	137	i	29 32	1 -	36 52	23 47	-	2 3	2 8
E.S. CENTRAL	19	_	60	-	_	6	229		437				-		
(y.	-	-	35	-	-	-	53	-	208	1	99 12	48 2	-	2	3
Tenn.	-	-	1	-	-	-	124	-	211	-	29	15	-	2	2 1
Ala. Viss.	10 9	-	24	-	-	4	37		15	1	54	24	-	-	
		-		-	-	2•	15	N	N	-	4	7	-	-	-
N.S. CENTRAL Ark.	78 4	-	14	-	3	448	164	10	770	1	200	269	-	11	11
_8.	12			-	1	•	20 47	6 3	105	:	23	12	-	4	2
Okla.	10	-	8	-		4	19	1	286 197	1	17 62	48 158	-	1	5
Гех.	52	-	6	-	2	444	78		182	:	98	51	-	6	4
MOUNTAIN	41	-	117	-	30	496	69	1	192	13	710	192			25
Mont. daho	5	-	5	-	28	128	2	-	2	-	2	6	-	6	25 8
Nyo.	2	-		-	1	-	8	-	4	6	320	62	-	-	1
Colo.	14	-	112		1	2 9	18	-	3 31	-	2	5	-	-	1
N. Mex.	2	-	-	-	-	317	11	N	N N		29 51	65 11	-	2	•
Ariz. Jtah	12 4	-	-	-	-	36	18	-	129	7	279	33	-	-	5
Nev.	2	-	-	-	-	1 3	10	-	7	•	26	10	-	3	10
PACIFIC	344	E0	CEC	_	-	-	2	1	16	-	1	-	-	1	-
Vash.	21	58	656 7	1	47	940	662	9	519	16	467	607	1	93	209
Oreg.	16	-	6	-	2	44 100	61 39	N	50 N	2 1	107 46	91	-	-	2
Calif.	294	58	639	1†	37	791	539	9	429	13	259	70 218	1	e=	123
Alaska ⊀awaii	3	-	1	-	-	1	6	•	13	-	7	6		65	133
	10	-	3	-	8	4	17	-	16	-	48	222	-	28	70
Guam	-	-	-	-	1	2	-	-	2	-	-	_	_	1	1
P.R. V.I.	2	36	226	-	-	763	10	1	10	-	15	18	-	3	3
Amer. Samoa		-	-	-	-	1	-	-	31	-	-		-	-	1
C.N.M.I.	1	-	-	-	-	1	2 1	-	3 2	-	-		-		-

*For measles only, imported cases includes both out-of-state and international importations.

N: Not notifiable U: Unavailable †International §C

§Out-of-state

TABLE III. (Cont'd.) Cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending November 12, 1988 and November 14, 1987 (45th Week)

	Noven	November 12, 1988 and November 14, 1987 (45th Week)												
Reporting Area UNITED STATES NEW ENGLAND Maine N.H. Vt. Mass. R.I. Conn. MID. ATLANTIC Upstate N.Y. N.Y. City N.J. Pa. E.N. CENTRAL Ohio Ind. III. Mich. Wis. W.N. CENTRAL Minn. Iowa Mo. N. Dak. S. Dak. Nebr. Kans. S. ATLANTIC Del. Md. D.C. Va. W. Va. N.C. S.C. Ga. Fla. E.S. CENTRAL KY.		(Civilian) Secondary)	Toxic- shock Syndrome	Tuber	culosis	Tula- remia	Typhoid Fever	Typhus Fever (Tick-borne) (RMSF)	Rabies, Animal					
	Cum. 1988	Cum. 1987	Cum. 1988	Cum. 1988	Cum. 1987	Cum. 1988	Cum. 1988	Cum. 1988	Cum. 1988					
UNITED STATES	34,895	30,704	302	18,250	18,430	165	327	587	3,716					
	1,020	549	21	474	555	4	33	12	15					
	12 6	1 3	4 4	22 9	22 18		-	•	1 5					
	3 376	2 259	2 9	4 276	14 304	3	1 20	7	:					
R.I.	30 593	11 273	2	38 125	58 139	1	5 7	2 3	9					
MID. ATLANTIC	8,470	5,719	46	3,741	3,321	-	66	18	412					
	515 5,925	221 4,266	22 6	482 2,073	438 1,615	:	13 40	11 6	43					
N.J.	874 1,156	606 626	3 15	583 603	589 679	:	11 2	1	13 356					
	1,014	772	43	2,026	2,052	1	29	37	136					
Ohio	89	92	29 1	387 205	375 201	:	7 2	25 2	5 29					
111.	49 464	53 401	1	883	916	-	14	7	29					
	385 27	173 53	12	460 91	473 87	1	4 2	2 1	34 39					
W.N. CENTRAL	211	159	43	456	523	74	4	91	411					
	17 22	18 26	5 7	76 50	101 35	3	2	2	121 13					
Mo.	137	72 1	12 3	224 15	284 12	45 1	2	55	20 96					
S. Dak.	1	11	4	31	24	16	-	7	112					
	28 6	11 20	4 8	13 47	24 43	2 7	-	1 26	17 32					
	12,410	10,568	19	3,848	3,922	5	36	197	1,271					
	91 593	64 540	1 3	36 370	36 339	2	2	1 22	55 285					
D.C.	605 369	352 287	-	172 355	139 380	2	2 12	- 17	10 319					
W. Va.	36	12	-	66	88	-	1	2	87					
	709 639	629 657	9 3	418 404	456 401	-	1 -	107 22	8 113					
Ga.	2,220 7,148	1,466 6,561	3	620 1,407	691 1,392	1	5 13	23 3	263 131					
	1,722	1,645	23	1,500	1,654	11	3	86	265					
Ky. Tenn.	58 735	20 639	9 10	324 452	382 495	5 5	1 -	29 37	108 69					
Ala.	500	432	3	456	479 298	1	1	9 11	83 5					
Miss. W.S. CENTRAL	429 3.758	554 3,793	1 28	268 2,327	2,179	51	8	131	483					
Ark.	204	225	2	259	263	32	4	26 2	81 10					
La. Okla.	753 133	700 151	9	299 213	272 208	16	-	88	30					
Tex.	2,668	2,717	17	1,556	1,436	3	4 9	15 11	362 340					
MOUNTAIN Mont.	742 3	608 9	35	485 19	546 13	11	1	11 6	340 187					
Idaho Wyo.	3 1	5 3	5	19 5	28 2	2	-	1 3	11 38					
Colo.	96	110	3	57	139	5	3	ĭ	28					
N. Mex. Ariz.	46 144	50 267	2 16	89 212	85 226	2 1	1 4	-	11 40					
Utah Nev.	18 431	22 142	9	29 55	24 29	1	-	-	9 16					
PACIFIC	5,548	6,891	44	3,393	3,678	8	139	4	383					
Wash. Oreg.	178 266	138 264	7	200 129	213 103	1 1	13 7	1 1	-					
Calif.	5,063	6,472	35	2,888	3,138	4	116	2	371					
Alaska Hawaii	14 27	4 13	1	41 135	52 172	2	3	-	12					
Guam	3	2	-	21	26	-	<u>-</u>	-	-					
P.R. V.I.	595 1	811 9	-	208 6	270 2	-	5		65 -					
Amer. Samoa	- 1		-	3	8	-	1	-	-					
C.N.M.I.	1	-	-	17	-	-	•	-	-					

TABLE IV. Deaths in 121 U.S. cities,* week ending November 12, 1988 (45th Week)

	November 12, 1988 (45th Week)														
		All Causes, By Age (Years)								All Ca	uses, E	y Age ((Years)		P&I**
Reporting Area	All Ages	≥65	45-64	25-44	1-24	<1	P&I**	Reporting Area	All Ages	≥65	45-64	25-44	1-24	<1	Total
NEW ENGLAND	625	439	112	49	14	11	58	S. ATLANTIC	1,215	747	270	110	35	52	50
Boston, Mass. Bridgeport, Conn.	201 46	135 31	32 11	23 1	6 1	5 2	25 4	Atlanta, Ga.	136	85	28	14	4	5	3
Cambridge, Mass.	19	18	1	:	·	-	2	Baltimore, Md. Charlotte, N.C.	247 65	155 37	60 18	18 5	7 1	7	17
Fall River, Mass.	26	23	2	1	-	-	1	Jacksonville, Fla.	110	67	28	8	5	2	3 3
Hartford, Conn. Lowell, Mass.	60 24	36 13	14 7	8	2	-	3	Miami, Fla.	130	69	31	18	4	8 5	-
Lynn, Mass.	17	14	3	4	-	-	2	Norfolk, Va. Richmond, Va.	50 73	31	.7	4	3	5	3
New Bedford, Mass.		22	4	2	-	_	-	Savannah, Ga.	73 70	56 42	15 12	2 7	1	8	4 8
New Haven, Conn.	58	39	11	4	3	1	6	St. Petersburg, Fla.	58	42	13	í		2	3
Providence, R.I. Somerville, Mass.	34 5	25 3	6 2	3	-	:	2	Tampa, Fla.	56	28	12	9	2	5	2
Springfield, Mass.	38	27	7	1	1	2	7	Washington, D.C.	192	112	43	22	8	6	3
Waterbury, Conn.	19	17	1	-	-	ī	3	Wilmington, Del.§	28	23	3	2	-	-	1
Worcester, Mass.	50	36	11	2	1	-	1	E.S. CENTRAL Birmingham, Ala.	768 147	504 90	162	55	20	27	43
MID. ATLANTIC	2,516	1,634	498	264	63	56	116	Chattanooga, Tenn.	43	34	33 6	14 1	3	7 2	3 1
Albany, N.Y. Allentown, Pa.	65	51	9	2	2	1	1	Knoxville, Tenn.	63	42	13	i	7	٠.	6
Buffalo, N.Y.	13 100	8 71	3 20	1	1 2	3	1 6	Louisville, Ky.	99	71	21	4	1	2	3
Camden, N.J.	34	17	7	4	5	1	1	Memphis, Tenn. Mobile, Ala.	199 39	125 25	42 11	18	3	11	18
Elizabeth, N.J.	23	19	3	1	-	-	3	Montgomery, Ala.	51	34	8	2 3	3	1 3	3 5
Erie, Pa.† Jersey City, N.J.	25 52	21 32	2	1	1	-	3	Nashville, Tenn.	127	83	28	12	3	1	4
N.Y. City, N.Y.	1,466	927	11 308	6 179	1 26	2 26	63	W.S. CENTRAL	1,660	1,030	354	166	60	48	55
Newark, N.J.	67	30	13	12	7	5	3	Austin, Tex.	38	25	8	3	1	1	3
Paterson, N.J.	25	14	4	5	-	2	1	Baton Rouge, La.	60	41	15	4	-	•	1
Philadelphia, Pa. Pittsburgh, Pa.†	212 49	124	42	28	7 2	10	6	Corpus Christi, Tex.§ Dallas, Tex.	48 183	37 103	10 36	1 25	12	7	1
Reading, Pa.	35	31 27	12 3	3 3	2	1	3	El Paso, Tex.	62	36	15	25 5	3	3	5 4
Rochester, N.Y.	113	78	24	4	5	2	9	Fort Worth, Tex	93	67	15	4	3	4	4
Schenectady, N.Y.	30	24	5	-	1	-	1	Houston, Tex.§	735	437	169	89	24	16	18
Scranton, Pa.† Syracuse, N.Y.	28 94	22 67	6 20	5	1	1	2 3	Little Rock, Ark. New Orleans, La.	43 103	27 60	7 24	3 8	2 6	3 4	2
Trenton, N.J.	27	19	3	3		2	2	San Antonio, Tex.	155	91	37	13	6	8	7
Utica, N.Y.	18	16	2	-	-	-	-	Shreveport, La.	54	39	10	2	š	-	4
Yonkers, N.Y.	40	36	1	3	-	-	5	Tulsa, Okla.	86	67	8	9	-	2	6
E.N. CENTRAL Akron, Ohio	2,100	1,407	423	144	57	69	91	MOUNTAIN Albuquerque, N. Mex	600 c. 69	393 48	120 13	44 4	17	25	42 4
Canton, Ohio	53 60	39 47	11 9	2	1	1	1 5	Colo. Springs, Colo.	. 29	21	3	4	2	2 1	8
Chicago, III.§	564	362	125	45	10	22	16	Denver, Colo.	94	59	18	11	2	4	8
Cincinnati, Ohio	104	64	26	9	2	3	7	Las Vegas, Nev.	70	37	22	7	3	1	5
Cleveland, Ohio Columbus, Ohio	147	95	26	14	5	7	5	Ogden, Utah Phoenix, Ariz.	18 131	16 79	1 23	1 8	7	14	3 8
Dayton, Ohio	133 102	90 68	27 21	12 7	1 4	3 2	2	Pueblo, Colo.	29	24	5	-	<i>'</i> .	14	4
Detroit, Mich.	185	98	44	20	17	6	8	Salt Lake City, Utah	57	30	18	5	2	1	-
Evansville, Ind.	60	39	12	1	1	7	4	Tucson, Ariz.	103	79	17	4	1	2	2
Fort Wayne, Ind. Gary, Ind.§	52 13	34 9	15	1	-	2	3	PACIFIC	1,458	966	272	134	48	35	93
Grand Rapids, Mich.		43	4 7	1	1		1 6	Berkeley, Calif. Fresno, Calif.	12 89	. 9	1	1	1	-	1
Indianapolis, Ind.	159	107	31	11	4	6	. š	Glendale, Calif.	89	63 6	12 3	6	2	6	7
Madison, Wis.	39	27	7	2	-	3	2	Honolulu, Hawaii	40	27	9	3	1	-	7
Milwaukee, Wis. Peoria, III.	109 54	82 43	19	3	1	4	5	Long Beach, Calif.	74	44	21	5	2	2	10
Rockford, III.	39	34	9 4	1	-	1	5 5	Los Angeles Calif.	250	163	51	22	10	2 3 2	11
South Bend, Ind.	29	25	ī	i	2	-	3	Oakland, Calif. Pasadena, Calif.	75 21	42 18	17	9	4	3	4
Toledo, Ohio	89	60	17	5	5	2	4	Portland, Oreg.	122	86	18	9	6	2	6
Youngstown, Ohio	57	41	8	5	3	-	1	Sacramento, Čalif.	94	59	18	11	2	4	8
W.N. CENTRAL	838	621	138	46	17	16	39	San Diego, Calif.	163	108	28	17	7	3	9
Des Moines, Iowa	52	42	7	1	1	1	6	San Francisco, Calif. San Jose, Calif.	127 201	85 129	18 43	20 21	6	4 2	4
Duluth, Minn. Kansas City, Kans.	27 38	20 30	5 4	1	1	3	1 3	Seattle, Wash.	111	129 79		6	3	3	15
Kansas City, Mo.	117	80	20	11	3	3	4	Spokane, Wash.	48	30	11	4	1	2	5
Lincoln, Nebr.	37	29	5	1	-	2	4	Tacoma, Wash.	22	18		-	2	-	4
Minneapolis, Minn.	213	155	39	12	6	1	13	TOTAL	11,780 [†]	[†] 7,741	2,349	1,012	331	339	587
Omaha, Nebr. St. Louis, Mo.	71 163	49 119	14 25	5 12	3 2	5	5								
St. Paul, Minn.	49	43	25 5	12	-	-	-								
Wichita, Kans.§	71	54	14	i	1	1	3								

^{*}Mortality data in this table are voluntarily reported from 121 cities in the United states, most of which have populations of 100,000 or more. A death is reported by the place of its occurrence and by the week that the death certificate was filed. Fetal deaths are not included.

*Pneumonia and influenza.
†Because of changes in reporting methods in these 3 Pennsylvania cities, these numbers are partial counts for the current week. Complete counts will be available in 4 to 6 weeks.
†Total includes unknown ages.
§Data not available. Figures are estimates based on average of past available 4 weeks.

In rhesus monkeys and other susceptible nonhuman primate species (e.g., pigtailed macaque, crab-eating macaque), SIV infection leads to a chronic wasting disease syndrome with depletion of CD4 (T4) lymphocytes and lymphadenopathy. The clinical course of this infection in monkeys, like that of AIDS in humans, is complicated by various opportunistic infections (7). SIV also causes a primary encephalopathy with many of the features of HIV-associated encephalopathy (8). Therefore, SIV infection is an important animal model of AIDS.

SIV proteins, especially the viral core proteins (i.e., p24, capsid protein), are antigenically related to HIV-1 proteins (9). Some SIV isolates, however, are antigenically more related to HIV-2 than to HIV-1 by cross-reactivity of viral capsid and envelope proteins. SIV isolates that have been molecularly cloned share approximately 75% of their genomic sequences with HIV-2 and approximately 30% with HIV-1 (10). SIV isolates are clearly distinct from Type D primate retrovirus (i.e., simian retrovirus 1) that also causes a form of chronic wasting immunodeficiency disease in several primate species (11). Also, SIV is distinct from simian T-cell lymphotropic virus type I (STLV-I), which shares extensive genomic sequences with human T-lymphotropic virus type I and is associated with T-cell lymphomas in nonhuman primates (12).

SIV can be isolated from a variety of tissues and body fluids—including blood, plasma, cerebrospinal fluid, and parenchymal tissues—of infected nonhuman primates. Limited data exist concerning the presence or concentration of virus in semen, cervical secretions, saliva, urine, breast milk, and amniotic fluids of experimentally or naturally infected nonhuman primates. However, the virus apparently is rarely isolated from semen, urine, and saliva despite repeated attempts at isolation (M. Daniel, N. Lerche, personal communication). There is no evidence to indicate that SIV is transmitted by the respiratory route (N. Lerche, H. McClure, M. Daniel, personal communication).

The cell tropism of SIV in culture depends partially on the strain of virus propagated and conditions of cell culture. Strains of SIV have been successfully cultured in human lymphocyte cell lines (e.g., HuT 78, HT, CEMx174) and in primary human and nonhuman primate peripheral blood leukocyte cultures (13). SIV appears to be primarily tropic for CD4 (T4)-positive leukocytes and has not been successfully propagated in B-lymphocyte cell lines (e.g., Raji). SIV antigen has been demonstrated by immunohistochemical methods in lymph node sinus histiocytes, macrophages, and giant cells (14) as well as in macrophage-derived cells in brain tissue from diseased monkeys (8).

Limited data exist concerning the reactivation of *Herpesvirus simiae* (B virus) or other latent infectious agents in SIV-infected macaque monkeys. However, all macaque monkeys not proven to be free of B virus infection, regardless of SIV infection status, should be regarded as infected with B virus and handled according to published guidelines (15). The routine screening of macaques for evidence of B virus infection or SIV infection is not recommended. However, in situations in which studies may cause immunosuppression (e.g., during experimental SIV infections), the investigator may elect to determine the infection status of the animals because B virus shedding may be enhanced in infected animals.

EVALUATING THE RISK OF SIV TRANSMISSION TO HUMANS

The risk, if any, of human infection with SIV has not been defined. However, since SIV shares many characteristics with HIV, many of the same biosafety precautions are

indicated. No serologic or virologic evidence of infection in humans exists; specific precautions in handling SIV are based on recommendations developed for HIV and other lentiviruses. No licensed tests exist for serologic evaluation of humans exposed to SIV. The absence of licensed tests complicates medical surveillance and investigations of the virus infection following exposure to SIV. In addition, the antigenic cross-reactivity between SIV and HIV may complicate testing of exposed humans.

However, standardized serologic procedures that test for SIV antibody are used in laboratories performing research with the virus. Recently, a protein unique to SIV and HIV-2 (product of gene *vpx*) was used as antigen in a serologic test that may allow easier distinction between HIV-1 and SIV antibodies (16). Furthermore, gene amplification (i.e., polymerase chain reaction) may allow differentiation of specific virus gene sequences directly from specimens obtained from exposed persons. Based on these events, development of specific and sensitive tests is under way.

GUIDELINES TO PREVENT SIV INFECTION IN LABORATORY WORKERS AND ANIMAL HANDLERS

Exposure Concerns. In the laboratory, SIV must be presumed to be present in all SIV cultures, in all materials derived from such cultures, in all specimens from SIV-antibody—positive nonhuman primates, and in/on all equipment and devices coming in contact with these materials. In this setting, the skin (especially when scratches, cuts, abrasions, dermatitis, or other lesions are present) and mucous membranes of the eye, nose, and mouth should be considered as potential pathways for virus entry; contact of these sites with SIV-containing materials should be considered an exposure to SIV.

Biosafety Levels. Biosafety level (BSL) 2 standards and special practices, containment equipment, and facilities, as described in the CDC/NIH publication *Biosafety in Microbiological and Biomedical Laboratories* (17), are recommended for activities involving all clinical specimens, body fluids, and tissues from SIV-infected primates. In laboratories maintaining BSL 2, personnel must have documented specific training in handling primate retroviruses, and the laboratory must have limited and properly secured access and written standard operating procedures for techniques in which SIV is used. Procedures involving cultures of SIV should be conducted in biological safety cabinets or other physical containment equipment.

Inoculation Precautions. In the research laboratory, inoculation of SIV-containing material represents an important potential route of exposure to SIV in humans. The use of syringes, needles, glass, and other sharp objects should be avoided, but when their use is essential, needles and disposable cutting instruments should be discarded after use into a lidded puncture-resistant container located in the work area. Needles should not be resheathed, bent, broken, removed, or otherwise manipulated by hand.

Gloves. Latex or vinyl gloves should be worn by all personnel engaged in activities that may involve direct skin contact with infectious specimens, cultures, or tissues. Gloves should not be washed or disinfected for reuse; reuse of such gloves may cause "wicking" (i.e., enhanced penetration of liquids through undetected holes in the glove) or deterioration of the gloves. When gloves have become visibly contaminated, they should be carefully removed and, after the hands are washed, replaced with a fresh pair of gloves. Handwashing with soap and water immediately after infectious materials are handled and work is completed, even when gloves have been worn, should be routine practice.

Clothing. Laboratory coats, gowns, or uniforms should be worn by laboratory workers when engaged in any work involving SIV or materials known or suspected to contain SIV. Clothing that becomes contaminated with SIV or SIV-containing materials should be decontaminated before being laundered or discarded. Clothing can be decontaminated by extensive soaking of the garment with chemical disinfectants (e.g., 1 to 10 dilution of household bleach).

Aerosol Control. Although aerosol transmission of SIV has not been demonstrated, the generation of aerosols, droplets, splashes, and spills should be avoided. A biological safety cabinet should be used for all procedures that might generate aerosols or droplets and for all infected cell culture manipulations. When centrifuging infected materials, centrifuge containers with safety caps that are designed to contain aerosols (in the event of spillage) should be used. When cell sorters are used, plastic shielding or other containment devices should be used to reduce exposure to infectious droplets.

Contaminated Virus Preparations. During the propagation of SIV in the research laboratory, manipulation of concentrated virus preparations or conduct of procedures that may produce aerosols or droplets should be performed in a BSL 2 facility, with additional practices and containment equipment recommended for BSL 3 (17). These practices should include wearing closed-front surgical-type gowns, masks and protective eyewear or face shields, and latex or vinyl gloves that extend to cover the wrist and sleeves of the surgical gown. Activities involving large-volume production or manipulation of highly concentrated SIV should be conducted in a BSL 3 facility, using only BSL 3 practices and equipment. All discarded cultures and laboratory supplies used in experimental manipulations of cultures should be autoclaved before disposal.

Decontamination. The susceptibility of SIV to chemical disinfectants has not been defined. Work surfaces, however, should be decontaminated daily with commercially available chemical disinfectants such as sodium hypochlorite solution 10% (1 to 10 dilution of household bleach), ethanol 70%–85%, or ethanol-iodine complex 2%. These effectively inactivate HIV (18,19). Prompt decontamination of spills (immediate absorption and control of the spill and soaking of the contaminated area with chemical disinfectant) should be standard practice. Gloves should be worn when cleaning up such spills. The use of plastic-backed absorbent padding to control spillage during manipulation of cultures or other SIV-containing fluids should be encouraged.

Animal Biosafety Levels. Animal BSL 2 practices, containment equipment, and facilities are recommended for activities involving nonhuman primates or any animals experimentally infected or inoculated with SIV. Animal-care personnel, investigators, technical staff, and other persons who enter animal rooms should wear coats, protective gloves, coveralls or uniforms, and, as appropriate, face shields or surgical masks and eye shields to protect the skin and mucous membranes of the eyes, nose, and mouth.

Handling SIV-Infected Nonhuman Primates. Nonhuman primates experimentally infected with SIV may have other primary, as well as opportunistic, pathogens in their body fluids and tissues. Thus, laboratory workers and animal handlers should follow accepted BSL 2 practices at all times to prevent inadvertent exposure to agents that may be present in clinical specimens or body fluids. All macaque monkeys not known

to be free of *Herpesvirus simiae* (B virus) should be regarded as infected and handled according to published guidelines (15).

Personnel Training. Primary investigators, other scientific personnel, and other persons who handle nonhuman primates used in SIV research should be trained in proper methods of animal restraint and use of protective clothing. Animal handlers should be familiar with various drugs routinely used for providing chemical restraint and with proper procedures for administering medications. All persons engaged in research involving nonhuman primates should be specifically trained in the natural history of SIV infection. Particular attention should be given to the need to wear protective clothing to prevent mucous membrane contact with potentially infectious material, particularly animal blood from an SIV-infected nonhuman primate. Caution should be emphasized during venipuncture procedures or the administration of injections to nonhuman primates involved in SIV research. Intravenous injections of nonhuman primates should be done while the animal is anesthetized and should be administered through a plastic or teflon catheter with syringes fitted with interlocking connectors.

Medical Surveillance. A licensed test specific for SIV antibody is not yet available. Standardized serologic procedures to identify SIV antibody are used in laboratories performing research with the virus. A medical surveillance program should be in place in all laboratories that test specimens, conduct research, or produce reagents involving SIV. The nature and scope of the surveillance program will vary by institutional policy and applicable local, state, and federal regulations (20). Laboratories performing research with SIV should initiate a program to store serum from laboratory workers. Serum specimens should be collected at 6-month intervals and stored. Routine testing of the serum is optional but, if performed, should be done using standardized serologic procedures in qualified laboratories.

Human Exposure to SIV. If a laboratory worker has a parenteral, skin, or mucous membrane exposure to blood, body fluids, or virus culture material from nonhuman primates, the source material should be identified and, if possible, tested for the presence of SIV. All wounds incurred from SIV-infected nonhuman primates or from SIV-contaminated instruments should be cleansed with soap and water. Such incidents should be reported to the animal-care supervisor and/or laboratory supervisor and recorded in an accident report log. If the source material is positive for SIV antibody, virus, or antigen or unavailable for examination, the worker should be counseled regarding the risk of infection and evaluated medically. The worker should be advised to report and to seek medical evaluation for any acute febrile illness that occurs within 12 weeks after the exposure. Medical evaluation should include examination for serum antibody against SIV. Seronegative workers should be retested 6 weeks after the exposure and periodically thereafter (e.g., 12 weeks and 6 months after exposure). All institutions should establish written policies regarding the management of laboratory exposure to SIV; such policies should deal with confidentiality, counseling, and other related issues. The lack of data concerning the potential transmission of SIV between humans does not allow for specific recommendations concerning behavior changes in a person confirmed seropositive for SIV. However, an SIV-seropositive person should not donate blood.

Postexposure Treatment. No effective prophylactic treatment for SIV exists; research is needed in animals concerning postexposure prophylaxis (e.g., immune globulin,

antiviral therapy). Data from such research may be useful in future exposures of humans to SIV.

The working group consists of MD Lairmore, DVM, PhD, JE Kaplan, MD, Div of Viral Diseases, M Rayfield, PhD, AIDS Laboratory, AIDS Program, B Brown, DVM, Office of Scientific Sycs, Center for Infectious Diseases: JW McVicar, DVM, MS, Office of Biosafety, Office of the Director. CDC. MD Daniel, DVM, PhD, New England Regional Primate Center, Harvard Medical School, Southborough, Massachusetts. NW Lerche, DVM, MPVM, California Regional Primate Research Center, Univ of California, Davis. PL Nara, DVM, PhD, National Cancer Institute, HM McClure, DVM, Yerkes Regional Primate Research Center, Emory Univ, Atlanta, Georgia, RW McKinney, PhD. Office of Biosafety, DO Johnsen, DVM, Div of Research Resources, R Purcell, MD, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases; CJ Gibbs Jr, PhD, National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke, National Institutes of Health, M Hendry, DVM, DSci. Div of Virology, Food and Drug Administration. P Gerone, DVM, Delta Regional Primate Research Center, Tulane Univ, Covington, Louisiana. J Allan, DVM, Southwest Foundation for Biomedical Research, San Antonio, Texas, JL Ribas, DVM, Dept of Virus Diseases, Walter Reed Army Institute of Research, Washington, DC. HJ Klein, VMD, DS, Laboratory Animal Resources, Merck Sharp and Dohme Research Laboratories, West Point, Pennsylvania. PB Jahrling, PhD, Dept of Pathogenesis and Immunology, US Army Medical Research Institute of Infectious Diseases, Fort Detrick, Maryland.

References

- Daniel MD, Letvin NL, King NW, et al. Isolation of T-cell tropic HTLV-III-like retrovirus from macaques. Science 1985;228:1201–4.
- 2. Ohta Y, Masuda T, Tsujimoto H, et al. Isolation of simian immunodeficiency virus from African green monkeys and seroepidemiologic survey of the virus in various nonhuman primates. Int J Cancer 1988;41:115–22.
- Fultz PN, McClure HM, Anderson DC, Swenson RB, Anand R, Srinivasan A. Isolation of a T-lymphotropic retrovirus from naturally infected sooty mangabey monkeys (*Cercocebus atys*). Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1986;83:5286–90.
- Benveniste RE, Arthur LO, Tsai C-C, et al. Isolation of a lentivirus from a macaque with lymphoma: comparison with HTLV-III/LAV and other lentiviruses. J Virol 1986;60:483–90.
- Lowenstine LJ, Lerche NW, Marx P, Pederson NC, Jennings M, Gardner MB. Update on simian immunosuppressive retrovirus (SIV) studies at the California Primate Research Center (CPRC). Presented at the Symposium on Nonhuman Primate Models for the Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS), Atlanta, Georgia, October 8–10, 1987.
- Daniel MD, Letvin NL, Sehgal PK, et al. Prevalence of antibodies to three retroviruses in a captive colony of macaque monkeys. Int J Cancer 1988;41:601–8.
- 7. King NW. Simian models of acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS): a review. Vet Pathol 1986;23:345–53.
- Ringler DJ, Hunt RD, Desrosiers RC, Daniel MD, Chalifoux LV, King NW. Simian immunodeficiency virus-induced meningoencephalitis: natural history and retrospective study. Ann Neurol 1988;23(suppl):S101–7.
- Kanki PJ, McLane MF, King NW Jr, et al. Serologic identification and characterization of a macaque T-lymphotropic retrovirus closely related to HTLV-III. Science 1985;228:1199–201.
- Chakrabarti L, Guyader M, Alizon M, et al. Sequence of simian immunodeficiency virus from macaque and its relationship to other human and simian retroviruses. Nature 1987; 328:543-7.
- 11. Heidecker G, Lerche NW, Lowenstine LJ, et al. Induction of simian acquired immune deficiency syndrome (SAIDS) with a molecular clone of a type D SAIDS retrovirus. J Virol 1987;61:3066–71.
- Watanabe T, Seiki M, Tsujimoto H, Miyoshi I, Hayami M, Yoshida M. Sequence homology of the simian retrovirus genome with human T-cell leukemia virus type I. Virology 1985; 144:59

 –65.
- 13. Kannagi M, Yetz JM, Letvin NL. *In vitro* growth characteristics of simian T-lymphotropic virus type III. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1985;82:7053–7.
- 14. Ward JM, O'Leary TJ, Baskin GB, et al. Immunohistochemical localization of human and simian immunodeficiency viral antigens in fixed tissue sections. Am J Pathol 1987; 127:199–205.
- 15. CDC. Guidelines for prevention of *Herpesvirus simiae* (B virus) infection in monkey handlers. MMWR 1987;36:680–2,687–9.

- Henderson LE, Sowder RC, Copeland TD, Benveniste RE, Oroszlan S. Isolation and characterization of a novel protein (X-ORF product) from SIV and HIV-2. Science 1988;241:199–201.
- CDC, National Institutes of Health. Biosafety in microbiological and biomedical laboratories. Bethesda, Maryland: US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, 1984; DHHS publication no. (CDC)84-8395.
- 18. Martin LS, McDougal JS, Loskoski SL. Disinfection and inactivation of the human T lymphotropic virus type III/lymphadenopathy-associated virus. J Infect Dis 1985;152:400-3.
- 19. Resnick L, Veren K, Salahuddin SZ, Tondreau S, Markham PD. Stability and inactivation of HTLV-III/LAV under clinical and laboratory environments. JAMA 1986;255:1887–91.
- 20. US Department of Labor, US Department of Department of Health and Human Services. Joint advisory notice: HBV/HIV. Federal Register 1987;52(210):41818–24.

The Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report is prepared by the Centers for Disease Control, Atlanta, Georgia, and available on a paid subscription basis from the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402, (202) 783-3238.

The data in this report are provisional, based on weekly reports to CDC by state health departments. The reporting week concludes at close of business on Friday; compiled data on a national basis are officially released to the public on the succeeding Friday. The editor welcomes accounts of interesting cases, outbreaks, environmental hazards, or other public health problems of current interest to health officials. Such reports and any other matters pertaining to editorial or other textual considerations should be addressed to: Editor, Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, Centers for Disease Control, Atlanta, Georgia 30333.

Director, Centers for Disease Control James O. Mason, M.D., Dr.P.H. Acting Director, Epidemiology Program Office Michael B. Gregg, M.D. Editor Pro Tem Richard A. Goodman, M.D., M.P.H. Managing Editor Karen L. Foster, M.A.

☆U.S. Government Printing Office: 1989-631-108/81535 Region IV

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES Public Health Service

Centers for Disease Control Atlanta, GA 30333

Official Business
Penalty for Private Use \$300

FIRST-CLASS MAIL
POSTAGE & FEES PAID
PHS/CDC
Permit No. G-284

Z4 *HCRU9FISD22 8721 DANIEL B FISHBEIN, MD CID, VRL 7-844 G13

X